IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

WEDNESDAY, THE 23RD MAY 2007 / 2ND JYAISHTA 1929

WA.No. 133 of 2007()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.502/2006 Dated 01/12/2006
.....

APPELLANT: PETITIONER

R.SISUPALAN, S/O.RAGHAVAN ACHARI,
NAMPIYA VEEDU, MADAPPALLY, MUKUNDAPURAM P.O.,
CHAVARA.

BY ADV. SRI.V.AJITHNARAYANAN

RESPONDENTS:RESPONDENTS
---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO MINISTRY OF HEALTH,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. DISTRICT MEDICAL OFFICER,
HEALTH DEPARTMENT, KOLLAM.

4. MEDICAL OFFICER,
PRIMARY HEALTH CENTRE, CHAVARA.

5. EMPLOYMENT OFFICER,
TOWM EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGE, KARUNAGAPPALLY.

6. VASAPPAN.M., THANKAMMA BHAVAN,
PAVUMPA P.O.-690 574.

7. SMT.UMADEVI PILLAI,
THANEERINDAKKAVADAKKETHIL, MADAPPALLY,
EDAPPALLYKOTTA P.O., PANMANA.

R1 TOR4 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI K. SANDESH RAJ
R7 BY ADV. SRI B. SURESH KUMAR
SRI.S.SUDHEESHKAR

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 23/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN & ANTONY DOMINIC, JJ.

Writ Appeal No 133 of 2007

Dated: 23rd May, 2007

JUDGMENT

Radhakrishnan, J.

Heard counsel on either side. Criteria adopted by the authorities for preferring the seventh respondent has been elaborately stated by the learned single judge in paragraph 3 of the judgment. Petitioner was awarded only 7 marks at the interview whereas seventh respondent was awarded 8½ marks which was the most decisive factor. Learned single judge therefore rightly dismissed the writ petition. We find no error in the judgment of the learned single judge. Appeal lacks merits and the same is dismissed.

Sd/-
K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN
Judge

Sd/-
ANTONY DOMINIC
Judge

23/05/2007
en [true copy]